UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Jamal Mitchell	Case No. 1:09 Cr 236
	Defendant	Case No. 1.09 Cl 230
	After conducting a detention hearing und defendant be detained pending trial.	r the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1)		e described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ocal offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defi which the prison term is 10	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for years or more.
	an offense for which the maximu	sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum	orison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defe U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or co	dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 parable state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of a minor victim	
		of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon der 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was or local offense.	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elaps offense described in finding (1).	d since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		ttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another hat defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
<u>√</u> (1)	•	he defendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<u> </u>
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the predefendant's appearance and the safety	umption established by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the of the community.
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	Alternative Findings (B) t will not appear.
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	t will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II –	tatement of the Reasons for Detention
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence	
felony of of comr by failin sec. 31	convictions for unlawful driving away of a mitting new crimes while on bondthe F ag to report and by using drugs. Finally,	th a drug problem. He has never worked. His criminal history includes multiple automobile, and for fleeing eluding 4th degree. He has a consistent history SR chronicles at least five instances. Also, he violated probation late in 2008 efendant was on probation when he committed the instant offense. 18 USC olying with bond and probation in the past makes it unlikely that he will abide
	Part	– Directions Regarding Detention
correctio appeal. States C	ns facility separate, to the extent practical The defendant must be afforded a reaso	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a ble, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending able opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United overnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the appearance.
Date:	September 14, 2009	Judge's Signature: _/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
_	-	Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge